UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND

AECOM TECHNICAL SERVICES, INC, Plaintiff,)	
v.)	C.A. No. 18·619-JJM-LDA
BARLETTA HEAVY DIVISION, INC., Defendant.)	
)	

ORDER

Defendant Barletta Heavy Division, Inc., moved to dismiss or stay (ECF No. 8) Plaintiff Aecom Technical Services, Inc.'s breach of contract complaint. It asserts that a contract between the parties—the Agreement for Design Services—has a mandatory mediation clause, which it alleges Aecom violated by filing this lawsuit before the parties undertook mediation.

Accom responds by alleging that its lawsuit is not based on the Agreement for Design Services but is based on Barletta's alleged violation of a separate contract between the parties—the Teaming Agreement—entered into before the Agreement for Design Services. The Teaming Agreement does not contain a mediation clause but did require Barletta to pay Aecom a "Success Fee" and Barletta has not paid it.

Barletta replies by arguing that the Agreement for Design Services has an integration clause, Section III.F, which makes the Teaming Agreement null and void, and thereby extinguishes Barletta's obligation to pay Aecom a "Success Fee."

Giving the Agreement for Design Services its plain, ordinary, and usual meaning, the integration clause does not subsume the older independent Teaming Agreement. The agreements are separate contracts for separate work. The Teaming Agreement governs the work done by the parties during the bidding phase, and the Agreement for Design Services governs the post-contract-award phase. The integration clause in the Agreement for Design Services does not reference the Teaming Agreement, explicitly or implicitly.

As a result, the mediation clause in the Agreement for Design Services does not affect this lawsuit—the enforcement of the Teaming Agreement.

The Court DENIES the Defendant's Motion to Dismiss or Stay (ECF No. 8).

IT IS SO ORDERED

John J. McConnell, Jr.

United States District Judge

January 7, 2019